


- (21) Application No. 45638/76 (22) Filed 3 Nov 1976
 (31) Convention Application No's. 628383 (32) Filed 3 Nov 1975 (19)
 641557 Filed 17 Dec 1975 in
 (33) United States of America (US)
 (44) Complete Specification Published 9 Jul 1980
 (51) INT. CL.³ G02B 5/14
 (52) Index at Acceptance G2J B7G B7K B7M G103 GB



(54) RADIANT ENERGY TRANSMISSION MEANS

(71) We, THE UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO, an Illinois corporation of 5801 South Ellis Avenue, Chicago, Illinois 60637, United States of America, do hereby declare this invention, for which we pray that a patent may be granted to us, and the method by which it is to be performed to be particularly described in and by the following statement:—

The present invention relates generally to means for transmission of radiant energy and more particularly to devices which may be constructed to collect radiant energy from within a field of selected angular characteristics or alternatively to emit radiant energy throughout a field of selected angular characteristics or both. As such, the invention relates to radiant energy concentrative and emissive functions and combinations thereof useful for such purposes for example as energy display, energy transformation and coupling other energy transmission devices.

The prior art has proposed and includes numerous structures and devices for electromagnetic or radiant energy detection, collection, concentration, transmission, transformation, propagation and emission of widely differing forms, including those naturally occurring [e.g., photosensitive "optical" elements in animals as described in J. Opt. Soc. Am., Vol. 61, No. 8, pp. 1120-21 (1971)]; image-forming lenses, fibers and the like; reflective layers and coatings for focusing and scattering; as well as uncoated transparent fibers, light pipes and the like.

Quite frequently devices and systems useful in one mode of energy transmission have for the most part been ineffective or inefficient when operation in an alternative mode has been attempted. Further, technological advances in certain fields of radiant energy transmission have not been matched in advances in

necessarily complementary fields. Examples for this state of events are abundant. Photoelectric cells have been produced which have a capacity for converting radiant energy into electrical energy beyond the ordinary capacity of transmission devices to supply operative surfaces of such cells with radiant energy in a manner to make the "trade-off" involved in the energy conversion economically feasible. Similarly, use of reflective (e.g., silvered) layers and mirrored surfaces to focus and/or scatter radiant energy quite often fail in applications involving multiple reflections wherein the relatively "minor" absorptive characteristics of such layers and surfaces are a significant deterrent to efficient transmission. As another example, imaging systems such as lenses and the like which are generally quite efficient in transmitting energy emanating from a fixed source, require a "trade-off" in terms of tracking when the energy source is transient and/or diffused. Energy transmission systems of an internally reflective variety such as light fibers and light pipes quite adequately function in transmission of rays of certain angular origin but may be quite inefficient and "leak" when called into operation for transmission of energy of differing angularity.

Recent proposals for the use of "ideal" radiant energy reflective surfaces developed in substantially trough-like and conical configurations have met with substantial success, especially in applications involving collection and concentration of solar energy. Thus, for example, the present inventor's U.S. Letters Patent 3,923,381 discloses, *inter alia*, non-imaging radiant energy collecting and concentrating devices generally including opposed reflective surfaces sloped to reflect the maximum angle energy rays within the device's

field of acceptance onto an energy trap -- allowing concentration by substantial factors, avoidance of transient energy source tracking and general minimization of absorptive losses due to multiple reflections. In a similar manner, U.S. Patent 3,899,672 of Levi-Setti discloses, *inter alia*, non-imaging conically-shaped energy collectors and concentrators having similarly advantageous energy transmission characteristics. Complementary disclosures relative to this subject matter are contained in the present inventor's publications, "Principles of Solar Concentrators Of A Novel Design," *Solar Energy*, Vol. 16, pp. 89-95 (1974) and *Solar Energy Concentration, Progress Report NSF/RANN AER 75-01065* (February, 1975), the latter of which specifically relates to principles for maximally concentrating radiant energy onto a tube receiver through use of cylindrical trough-like reflecting wall light channels of specific shape which concentrate radiant energy by the maximum amount allowed by phase space conservation.

Also specifically incorporated by reference herein for purposes of indicating the background of the invention and/or the state of the art are the following patents and publications: *Tabor, Solar Energy*, Vol. II, No. 3-4, pp. 27 et seq. (1958); *Sleeper*, U.S. 3,125,091; *Meinel et al., Physics Today*, Vol. 25, pp. 684 et seq. (1972); *Falbel*, U.S. 3,179,105; *Hintenberger and Winston, Rev. Scientific Instruments*, Vol. 37, No. 8, pp. 1094-95 (1966); *Hintenberger and Winston, Rev. Scientific Instruments*, Vol. 39, No. 8, pp. 1217-18 (1968); *Winston, J. Opt. Soc. Am.*, Vol. 60, No. 2, pp. 245-47 (1970); *Winston, J. Opt. Soc. Am.*, Vol. 61, No. 8, pp. 1120-21 (1971); *Williamson, J. Opt. Soc. Am.*, Vol. 42, No. 10, pp. 712-15 (1952); *Witte, Infrared Physics*, Vol. 5, pp. 179-85 (1965); *Emmett*, U.S. 980,505; *Baranov, et al., Soviet Journal of Optical Technology*, Vol. 33, No. 5, pp. 408-11 (1966); *Baranov, Soviet Journal of Optical Technology*, Vol. 34, No. 1, pp. 67-70 (1967); *Baranov, Applied Solar Energy*, Vol. 2, No. 3, pp. 9-12 (1968); *Newton*, U.S. Patent 2,969,788; *Phillips, et al.*, U.S. Patent 2,971,083; *Florence*, U.S. Patent 3,591,798; U.S.S.R. Certificate of Authorship No. 167,327 to V.K. Baranov, published on January 4 and March 18, 1965; U.S.S.R. Certificate of Authorship No. 200,530 to V.K. Baranov, published on August 15 and October 31, 1967; *Perlmutter, et al.*, U.S. Patent 3,229,682; *Perlmutter, et al., Journal of Heat Transfer*, August 1963, pp. 282-83; *Winston et al., Solar Energy*, Vol. 17, No. 4, pp. 255-58 (1975).

According to the present invention there is provided radiant energy transmission means, for use in an external radiant energy transmitting medium, and operative in a concentrating or an emitting mode, comprising a block of radiant energy transmissive material of refractive index greater than that of said external medium and having first and second opposite

end walls, a central axis or plane passing through both end walls, and a side wall or walls which join said end walls and, as seen in cross-section end-to-end of the block, are concave (with respect to the interior of the block) and converge towards each other from said first end to said second end, whereby in the concentrating mode radiant energy enters at said first end and is concentrated to exit at said second end and in the emitting mode radiant energy enters at said second end and diverges to exit at the first end, the said side wall or walls being so shaped that the block meets the requirement that of all the ray paths passing through both end walls of the block substantially all those that impinge on the side wall or walls are totally internally reflected where they meet a side wall, the slope of the side wall or walls (with respect to the central axis or plane) being a maximum consistent with the said requirement. The structures of the invention can be of the type now commonly referred to as Compound Parabolic Concentrator (CPC) structures [See, e.g. "Solar Heating and Cooling: Engineering, Practical Design and Economics", J.F. Kreider and F. Kreith, McGraw-Hill, New York (1975) pp. 98-101], though it is to be noted that structures of this type are not necessarily parabolic. Reference should be made to the publications listed above for background discussion of such structures.

Reference is made above to all the ray paths passing through both end walls of the block. These are in fact the ray paths within what is called the "field of acceptance" of the transmission means.

For CPC-type devices "filled" with energy transmitting media one would *a priori* expect that, due to the wide variation of internal angles of energy ray incidence with the reflective wall, only a fraction of all rays would be totally reflected in the absence of an externally applied reflective coating. The present invention demonstrates the unexpected circumstances that the conditions requisite for total internal reflectivity and for construction of CPC-type devices co-exist for cases of considerable practical importance. Apparatus of the invention includes, in broad aspect, radiant energy transmitting structures of generally trough-like (cylindrical) or conical shape.

Comprehended by the invention are symmetric and asymmetric transmitting structures (provided a central axis or plane exists) combined with energy traps or sources both disposed internally and externally of the reflective walls.

Structures of particular interest according to the present invention include those wherein the ratio of refractive indices of internal to external media is in excess of the square root of 2.

The following description thereof, of several embodiments is given by way of example and

with reference to the accompanying drawings, in which:—

Figure 1 is a transverse sectional view of a radiant energy transmitting element embodying the invention within a radiant energy transmitting medium;

Figure 2 illustrates the lower one quarter portion of the radiant energy transmitting element of Figure 1;

Figure 3 is a schematic cross-sectional view of another embodiment of a radiant energy transmitting element of the invention;

Figure 4 is a graphic representation of certain operative characteristics of a radiant energy transmitting element as in Figure 3;

Figure 5 is a further graphic representation of certain operative characteristics illustrated in Figure 4;

Figure 6 shows an array of radiant energy transmitting elements embodying the invention operable in either concentrative or emissive modes;

Figure 7 shows an array of alternative embodiments of the radiant energy transmitting elements of the invention operable in either concentrative or emissive modes;

Figure 8 is a perspective view of a single radiant energy transmitting element of the invention illustrating operation in a emissive and/or concentrative modes;

Figure 9 is a perspective view of another embodiment of a radiant energy transmitting element illustrating operation in a emissive and/or concentrative modes;

Figure 10 is a schematic cross-sectional view of an embodiment of the invention illustrating remedial shaping of reflective surfaces consistent with maximal concentration and total internal reflection;

Figure 11 is a schematic cross-sectional view of an embodiment of the invention illustrating remedial shaping of reflective surfaces consistent with maximal concentration and total internal reflection as particularly applicable in concentrative operation for a fixed-distance source of radiant energy;

Figure 12 is a schematic cross-sectional view of an embodiment of the invention illustrating remedial shaping of reflective surfaces consistent with maximal concentration and total internal reflection as particularly applicable to use of a tubular radiant energy source or trap.

In view of bimodal operative capabilities of apparatus according to the present invention, the following detailed description shall refer for convenience of understanding to operational characteristics applicable to use in radiant energy collection and concentration modes although these same operational characteristics, generally directionally reversed, are applicable to use in emissive modes. Thus, reference to radiant energy "concentrators" shall include reference to "emitters" and bimodally operative (e.g., retroreflective) devices unless

otherwise expressly indicated. In keeping with this format, for example, reference to an "inlet" in the course of description of concentrative apparatus shall include reference to an "outlet" for emissive structures.

As used herein, the term "energy trap" shall mean and include any apparatus or material having the capacity for detection, utilization and/or further transmission of radiant energy. As such, the term includes, but is not limited to, such radiant energy conversion devices or transducers as photoelectric cells. As used herein, the term "energy source" shall mean and include any apparatus or material having the capacity to emit or re-emit (e.g., by reflection) radiant energy. As such, the term includes, but is not limited to, such devices as light emitting diodes and mirrors.

Figure 1 illustrates in cross-section an embodiment of a concentrative radiant energy transmission element 10 for use in an external radiant energy transmitting medium 11. As shown, element 10 consists at least in pertinent part of a material which itself is an energy transmitting medium having an index of refraction, n_1 , and external medium 11 consists of a substance having an index of refraction, n_2 . According to well-known principles of fiber optics, in those situations wherein n_1 and n_2 are unequal, there is formed or generated at the interface of these media an optically reflective wall or surface 12. In the embodiment illustrated, where n_1 is greater than n_2 , the surface 12 is consequently reflective for energy impinging thereon from within element 10. Alternatively stated, wall or surface 12 provides internal reflectivity for and within transmission element 10.

The particular embodiment of Figure 1 illustrates an energy transmitting element of a configuration generally conforming to (CPC) structures useful in energy concentration. Viewed in consideration of known CPC construction formulations, surface 12 is seen to "originate" at, and at least in part define, a radiant energy inlet 13 and also to "terminate" at and similarly define a radiant energy outlet 14 (optimally parallel with inlet 13). Energy inlet 13 is in optical contact with radiant energy transmitting medium 15 having an index of refraction (n_3) which may be the same or different as n_1 and/or n_2 . The profile curve reveals pair of opposed reflective walls or surfaces 12 generally parabolically concavely sloped to assume the maximum possible slope consistent with reflecting through or onto the energy outlet 14 (having an energy trap operatively associated therewith) the extremal energy rays which enter the energy inlet from within the field of acceptance of the CPC structure.

Other general characteristics of CPC configurations are equally applicable to the embodiment of Figure 1. Structures of this type, for example, generally have a well defined field of acceptance for radiant energy, an

angular acceptance, θ_{\max} , for such energy within such field determinable with respect to the energy inlet, and, depending upon the particular embodiment employed, an optical axis determinable by reference to distances separating opposed "edge" portions of the energy inlet 13 and outlet 14 (designated 13a, 13a and 14a, 14a respectively). Similarly, the ratio of transverse dimensions of the outlet 14 and inlet 13 of the embodiment is preferably not less than the sine of the angular acceptance of the CPC structure. The parabolic curvature of the reflective wall 12 has as its focus the opposing "edge" of the energy outlet 14 and as its axis a line forming an angle with the optical axis equal to the angular acceptance, within the collector, θ'_{\max} . The overall height of the embodiment is preferably equal to half the product of the sum of the transverse dimensions of inlet 13 and outlet 14 multiplied by the cotangent of the internal angular acceptance, θ'_{\max} . Clearly some substantial truncation of the concentrator may be made for practical (i.e., ease of fabrication) purposes with corresponding loss of concentrative capacity though without diminution of angular acceptance. Similarly, the effective energy inlet may be "extended" or "relocated" by linearly extending wall 12 parallel to the optic axis without substantial alteration of the angular acceptance of the concentrator element. If the refractive index of the material within the collector is the same as that of the surrounding medium (as in the case of hollow mirror type collectors), θ'_{\max} equals θ_{\max} . In a "filled" collector, a ray impinging on the inlet 13 at the angle of the angular acceptance in the external medium θ_{\max} will be refracted at the surface, to follow a path with an angle equal to the internal angular acceptance θ'_{\max} .

The geometric relationships illustrated in Figure 1 are exposed with somewhat greater clarity in Figure 2 wherein only the lower one quarter portion of the θ_{\max} CPC-type structure has been shown.

Clearly, relationships shown in the cross-sectional views represented by Figures 1 and 2 are equally applicable to trough-shaped concentrators (see, e.g. Figures 6 and 9) as well as to conically-shaped concentrators (see, e.g. Figures 7 and 8).

It should be additionally noted that the following description of operative characteristics of trough-shaped CPC-like structures of the variety illustrated in Figures 1 through 6 and 9 are equally applicable to variant embodiments of ideal cylindrical collectors of trough-like configuration (e.g. Figures 10 and 13) wherein, for example, radiant energy is maximally concentrated onto a receiver 16 tubular in general shape (including *inter alia*, those having an elliptical, circular or oval cross-section) and wherein the receiver is generally disposed within the concentrator 10 and/or

between reflective wall elements 12, 12 of the concentrator.

The CPC-type structures in both trough-like and cone geometries generally can achieve a concentration ratio, x , according to the following.

$$x = n/\sin \theta_{\max} \text{ (trough)}, \quad (1)$$

$$x = n^2/\sin^2 \theta_{\max} \text{ (cone)}, \quad (2)$$

wherein θ_{\max} is the angular acceptance (half angle) and n is the index of refraction of the collector relative to the medium at the energy inlet. Where the trough or cone is air-filled and the inlet is in contact with air, $n = 1$. This concentration ratio is believed to be the maximum permissible by physical principles.

According to the present invention it has been determined that for certain values of parameters of considerable practical importance, the interface between a medium internal to a CPC-type structure's walls and that surrounding (and optically coupled to) the structure's walls provides a substantially perfect total internally reflective surface which prevents or minimizes leakage of radiation, thereby obviating the need for e.g., a metallic reflective coating to provide for energy guiding and reflecting.

Where the internal medium of element 10 has a refractive index (n_1) in excess of the refractive index (n_2) of the external media 11 and 13 (i.e., $n_2 = n_3$) in contact therewith, incident rays which lie within the angular acceptance (θ_{\max}) of a trough-shaped CPC-type structure are refracted into an elliptic cone of semi-minor angle θ'_{\max} and semi-major angle θ_c where

$$n = n_1/n_2 = \text{relative refractive index} \quad (3)$$

$$\sin \theta'_{\max} = (1/n) \sin \theta_{\max}, \text{ and} \quad (4)$$

$$\theta_c = \arcsin (1/n), \text{ the critical angle.} \quad (5)$$

For the cone-shaped CPC-type structures the angular range is simply a cone of half angle θ'_{\max} .

These rays are funnelled to the exit aperture after perhaps one or more reflections. In order for a ray to undergo total internal reflection at the wall, it must lie outside the critical cone of half angle θ_c . For the trough and perhaps also the cone, the severest test of this condition occurs for the extreme meridional ray incident on the exit edge of the reflecting wall (see Figure 4). Then, the condition becomes

$$\sin \theta'_{\max} \leq (1 - 2/n^2) \quad (6)$$

so that

$$\sin \theta_{\max} \leq n(1 - 2/n^2) \quad (7)$$

$$x_{\max} = 1/(1 - 2/n^2) \text{ (trough)} \quad (8)$$

$$x_{\max} = 1/(1 - 2/n^2)^2 \text{ (cone)} \quad (9)$$

Notice that at $\sin \theta_{\max} = 1$, equation 7 has the solution $n \geq 2$, so that $n = 2$ achieves a full 180° field of view. Alternatively where $n = \sqrt{2}$ a field of view approaching 0 is achieved.

These relationships will be better understood through consideration of Figures 3, 4 and 5. Figure 3 illustrates a cross-sectional view of a CPC-type structure (of either trough-like or conical geometry) according to the invention wherein θ'_{\max} , the angular acceptance within the medium filling the concentrator, is approximately 17.94° . (Note that for ease of illustration and demonstration the following are assumed: that the medium filling the concentrator element 10 is uniform throughout; and that the medium 11 external to wall or surface 12 is of identical refractive index to that medium 15 in optical contact with energy inlet 13, i.e., that $n_2 = n_3$). Line OA designates the optical axis of the element. θ_c is the critical angle, measured with respect to the normal N to the interface surface. Radiation impinging at angles greater than or equal to θ_c is consequently totally internally reflected.

Application of this property is illustrated in Figure 4 wherein M represents the extreme meridional ray above-discussed. For either trough-shaped or conical CPC-type concentrators, radiant energy impinging upon point 14a outside of the cone C defined by axis N and half angle θ_c is totally internally reflected. It is therefore seen that any ray impinging from within the field of acceptance (within cone C') is reflected toward or through outlet 14. For a CPC-type concentrator of conical geometry, cone C' is a right circular cone having as its axis line P, parallel to the optic axis and a half angle equal to θ'_{\max} . For a CPC-type concentrator of trough-like geometry, cone C' is as represented in Figure 5, i.e., an elliptic cone having as its semi-minor angle θ'_{\max} and as its semi-major angle θ_c . [Note, for example, that if inlet 13 is in optical contact with a medium 15 differing in refractive index from that in contact with surface 12, (i.e., $n_3 \neq n_2$) the semi-major angle of cone C' may vary and approach 90°].

Having satisfied the total internal reflection condition at point 14a, it is apparent that this condition is also met at points along wall 12 closer to the inlet. Multiple reflections in CPC-type trough-shaped concentrators, including those of skew rays, will occur only off the same wall and obviously satisfy the condition (see, e.g., rays illustrated along line MR). Multiple reflections of meridional rays in a CPC-type conical concentrator also occur only off the same wall profile and similarly satisfy the condition. Skew rays in a CPC-type conical collector are obviously seen to satisfy the total internal reflection condition up to and including two reflections. A ray trace reveals that all skew rays, irrespective of the number of reflections are substantially internally reflected.

According to the relationships above-described, if the $\theta'_{\max} = 17.94^\circ$ structure of Figure 3 were of a trough-shape CPC-type, filled with a uniform medium wherein $n_1 = 1.7$, and in optical contact with air ($n_2 = 1$) both at

wall 12 and at inlet 13 (i.e., $n_3 = n_2$), then θ_{\max} (the angular acceptance of the concentrator element) would be equal to 31.57° and the concentration capability would be up to 3.25.

Table 1, following, graphically provides certain relationships for trough-shaped CPC-type concentrators of varying parameters.

The concentration capability for CPC-type conical concentrators will, of course, approach $1/(\sin \theta'_{\max})^2$ as opposed to $1/\sin \theta'_{\max}$ for troughs.

When an index of refraction ratio $n > 2$ is available, it is possible to increase the concentration by lowering θ'_{\max} below 30° while maintaining the $\theta_{\max} = 90^\circ$ acceptance condition. (In infrared applications energy transmitting materials commonly have indices of refraction in excess of 2).

The relationships set out in Table 1 represent the largest angular acceptance obtainable for particular values of the variable n when the range of its values is from $\sqrt{2}$ to 2, which values almost serendipitously correspond quite well to the indices of refraction of most "transparent" solids relative to the refractivity of air. Clearly, configurations employing smaller values of θ'_{\max} may be constructed with resultant enhancement of concentrative capability and, of course, without loss of the total internal reflective capability. Thus, for example, a trough-shaped CPC-type concentrator filled with an acrylic plastic medium having $n \sim 1.5$ (where medium 11 is air) may be constructed to assume a value of θ'_{\max} of 3° , in which case $\theta_{\max} = 4.5^\circ$ and the maximum concentrative capability approaches 19.00. In a like manner, through use of a glass-filled ($n \sim 1.6$) concentrator with $\theta'_{\max} = 6.00^\circ$ will result in $\theta_{\max} = 9.63^\circ$ and provide a maximum concentrative capability of about 9.57. Note that these values indicate an exceptional flexibility in constructing transmission elements for solar energy concentration without diurnal tracking.

For the case wherein the medium 15 in optical contact with the energy inlet 13 has a different index of refraction from that in contact with and surrounding wall 12 ($n_3 \neq n_2$), all previous statements of relationships apply, with the modification that θ_{\max} should be computed in accordance with the following:

$$\sin \theta_{\max} = (n_1/n_3) \sin \theta'_{\max} \quad (10)$$

For those cases wherein the medium filling concentrator 10 is non-uniform, adjustments in angular properties consistent with known theories of fiber optics will apply.

For a CPC-type trough-like concentrator, the end walls are generally constructed perpendicular to the entrance plane. Since rays entering the medium are restricted in angle up to θ_c , the maximum angle of incidence on the end wall will be $90^\circ - \theta_c$ which is $\geq \theta_c$ (the condition for total internal reflection) for $\theta_c \leq 45^\circ$. This requires $n \geq \sqrt{2}$ which coincides with the condition (Equation 7) required to make the

TABLE 1

	n^*	θ'_{\max}	θ_{\max}	Concentration**	
5	$\sqrt{2}$	~ 0	~ 0	$\sim \infty$	70
	1.5	6.38	9.59	9.00	
	1.6	12.64	20.49	4.37	
10	1.7	17.94	31.57	3.25	75
	1.8	22.50	43.54	2.61	
	1.9	26.49	57.93	2.24	
15	2.0	30.00	90.00	2.00	80

* $n = n_1/n_2$ = relative refractive index

**Concentration = $1/\sin \theta'_{\max}$

20	trough operative by total internal reflection. For the condition $\theta_c < 45^\circ$, the end walls may be sloped to achieve some additional concentration while maintaining total internal reflectivity.	85
25	From the previous discussion it is clear that energy-transmitting-medium "filled" devices may be made according to standard CPC-type designs by constructing the profile curve of the reflecting surface to take on the maximum possible slope consistent with reflecting onto a selected energy trap the extremal rays which enter the energy inlet with the field of acceptance of the device.	90
30	Devices constructed to accommodate use of media (surrounding and internal) such that $\sqrt{2} < n \leq 2$ will involve shaping of the reflective surface profile curve as illustrated in Figures 1-4 e.g., shaping to provide such a parabolic or functionally equivalent profile curve as may be appropriate to the functional nature and/or geometric configuration of the energy trap and to the relative distance of the energy source. Where such a range ($\sqrt{2} \leq n < 2$) of relative indices of refraction for media is unobtainable or merely undesired -- as may be the case where the relation of n to the optimal field of acceptance for a given purpose is not particularly well suited for a desired use -- certain modifications of the profile curve are appropriate to an extent that is consistent with maintaining substantial total internal reflectivity. Specifically, the profile curve is generated in a manner illustrated by Figures 11, 12 and 13 to provide the maximum possible slope consistent with both substantial total internal reflectivity (requiring that the included angle between an extremal ray and its reflection from the reflective wall be not less than $2\theta_c$) and maintenance of optimal concentration of energy from within the desired range of angularity of origin. Generating the maximum slope according to the CPC prescription is tantamount to providing the minimum included angle consistent with reflecting the extremal ray onto the energy trap. The requirement of total internal reflectivity imposes a lower limit of $2\theta_c$ to this included angle which may override the standard CPC slope prescription and require a remedial prescription sloping along a portion of the reflective wall.	95
35	Throughout Figures 10-12, for ease of illustration, θ'_{\max} is shown as 45° even though this condition is only met if $n_1/n_3 < \sqrt{2}$.	100
40	Figure 10 illustrates generally the application of the above "remedial prescription" to construction of a concentrator element wherein the energy trap, designated B-B', is geometrically flat (e.g., where the trap is a flat photoelectric energy transducer or involves the use of an opening into a cavity) and wherein energy of interest effectively emanates from an infinitely distant source. In the case illustrated, an energy ray, R, impinging at an angle greater than θ_c at a point P of parabolically sloped (focus B') reflective wall 12 will, as expected, invariably reach the trap because the included angle is greater than $2\theta_c$. The extremal energy rays R ¹ or R ² , impinging respectively on points A and B at an angle equal to θ_c , would not be totally reflected onto the trap by a parabolic slope if it were continued beyond (illustratively, above) point A. Hence, the remedial step of constructing the profile curve segments A-B, A'-B', of wall 12 to have a straight slope may be to insure that any such extremal ray invariably reaches the trap. The overall slope of wall 12 is thus seen to consist of more than one simple geometric curve, i.e., it is a parabolic slope smoothly joined to a straight slope. Note that this construction preserves the desired relation of internal reflectivity and optimal concentration within selected angles through the practice of sloping reflective surface 12 to assume the maximal possible slope consistent with reflecting onto the energy trap the extremal rays entering the energy inlet from within the concentrator's field of acceptance.	105
45	For straight slope angle α , revealed in a "remedied" profile curve, geometric analysis	110
50		115
55		120
60		125
65		130

shows that the maximum angle of incidence on the flat receiver (in the transverse plane) is $\theta'_{\max} + 2\alpha$. This fact is useful in designing a totally internally reflecting CPC-type collector which permits the radiation to substantially emerge from a flat receiver. If, for example, the index of refraction outside the receiver is the same as external to the wall 12 and if $\theta'_{\max} + 2\alpha = \theta_c$, then the radiation will substantially emerge. To have total internal reflection along the sloped wall, we require $\theta_c \leq 90^\circ - (\alpha + \theta'_{\max})$. Therefore, as long as $\theta'_{\max} \leq 180^\circ - 3\theta_c$ this design is operative. The maximum concentration achieved is $(\sin \theta_c / \sin \theta'_{\max})$. If $n_3 = n_2$, then $\sin \theta_{\max} = n \sin \theta'_{\max}$ and $\sin \theta_c = 1/n$, so that the concentration achieved is $1/\sin \theta_{\max}$ which is the same as for a non-filled CPC collector.

Figure 11 illustrates application of the "remedial prescription" to a concentrator element also having a geometrically flat energy trap, B-B', but constructed for use in situations wherein the energy rays of interest emanate from a source, designated D-D', at a fixed distance from the concentrator. Once again, an energy ray, R, impinging at an angle greater than θ_c and reflected at point P of elliptically sloped (foci D', B') reflective wall 12 will invariably reach the trap. Extremal rays R¹ and R², impinging on points A and B at an angle equal to θ_c would not be totally reflected onto the trap by the elliptical slope if continued beyond point A. Hence the remedial step of constructing the profile curve segments A-B, A'-B', of wall 12 to have a slope in the shape of the arc of an equiangular spiral.

Figure 12 illustrates application of the "remedial prescription" to construction of a concentrator element wherein the energy trap is tubular, designated by arc B-B', and wherein energy effectively emanates from an infinitely distant source. Once again, energy ray, R, impinging at an angle greater than θ_c at point P on reflective wall 12 (sloped, at least in part, according to the standard CPC configuration dictated by the tubular geometry of the trap) will be reflected onto the trap B-B'. Extremal energy rays R¹ and R², impinging at points A and B at an angle equal to θ_c , would not be totally reflected onto the trap if the standard curvature were continued beyond point A. Hence the remedial step of constructing the profile curve segments A-B, A'-B', of wall 12 to have a straight slope may be made to insure that extremal rays invariably reach the trap.

While not illustrated, the remedial sloping required to substantially insure total internal reflectivity for a concentrator associated with a tubular energy trap and constructed to collect light emanating from a fixed-distance source is clear. The reflective wall would be constructed to have the slope of an arc of an equiangular spiral in the portion of the profile curve requiring remedial construction to accommodate

extremal rays.

The following is an exemplary application of the remedial sloping constructions illustrated in Figures 10-12.

If, for example, a concentrator as in Figure 10 were filled with the polymeric substance triethoxy-silicol methacrylate medium ($n_1 = 1.436$ or effectively 1.4 for the purposes of this example) and immersed in a polymeric vinyl carbazole ($n_2 = 1.683$ or effectively 1.7 for the purposes of this example) then, according to equation (5) above, $\theta_c = 55^\circ$ -- an apparently problematic situation with respect to application of standard CPC prescriptions because $n < \sqrt{2}$ -- requiring remedial sloping of the concentrator reflective wall. Elementary geometric analysis reveals that, where it is desired that θ'_{\max} be 15° , the slope of the straight line segment A-B of Figure 11 will be $\alpha = 90^\circ - (\theta_c + \theta'_{\max}) = 90^\circ - (55^\circ + 15^\circ) = 20^\circ$ from the optic axis. The maximum obtainable concentration for a trough-shaped CPC-type concentrator so remedially sloped to preserve substantial total internal reflectivity is $\sin (2\alpha + \theta'_{\max}) / \sin \theta'_{\max} = \sin 55^\circ / \sin 15^\circ = 3.16$. The concentration obtainable for a remedially sloped concentrator of conical geometry would be 10. This concentration is, of course, less than theoretically attainable by an "unremedied" CPC-type trough or cone but preserves total internal reflectivity.

As another example, where $n > \sqrt{2}$ but the standard CPC prescription limits θ'_{\max} (e.g., as in Table 1) to an undesired value, remedial sloping may be employed to accommodate a larger value of θ'_{\max} in the manner described above.

Figures 6 and 7 illustrate arrays of CPC-type energy transmission elements of the invention in combination with radiant energy sources or trap devices, single transmission elements of which are illustrated in Figures 9 and 8, respectively. In Figure 6 trough-shape elements 17 are aligned to extend longitudinally and may for concentrative use have disposed at their outlets energy traps of energy transducer 18 (e.g., photocell) variety in single or multiple ribbon-like form. In a like manner, the conically-shaped transmission elements 19 of Figure 7 may have substantially circular photo-cells 20 at their energy outlets. Alternatively, the arrays of Figures 6 and 7 may be employed in an emissive mode, with energy sources such as light emitting diodes in place of energy traps 18, 20. Notably an array of small conical-shaped transmission elements as in Figure 9 would be expected to be quite useful in numerical display wherein selective actuation of light emitting diodes of low light intensity would generate a pattern having sharp angular properties.

The following Example illustrates operation of apparatus according to the present invention.

EXAMPLE 1

A prototype array of two radiant energy

concentration elements (of a configuration as illustrated in Figure 6) in combination with photocells was constructed. Each concentrator element had substantially uniform internal medium of acrylic plastic ($n_1 = 1.5$) and was surrounded at its reflective side walls and energy inlet by air ($n_2 = 1$). Each element was approximately 7 inches long and 0.6 inch high; the transverse dimension of the inlet was 0.4765 inch; the transverse dimension of the outlet was 0.10 inch; and the transverse dimension of the silicon photocells was 0.085 inch. Calculated θ'_{\max} was equal to 7.18° . Calculated θ_{\max} was equal to 10.8° .

The array of concentrators was exposed to a quartz/halogen light source of one "sun" in magnitude (as measured with a calibrated standard solar cell positioned adjacent the array's inlet) and resulted in a measured gain in cell output of 3.97. (The geometric gain corresponded to 4.05 and thus the prototype system operated at an efficiency of about 98% of geometric). A more graphic illustration of the prototype array was provided by its observed capacity to actuate an AM/FM radio upon illumination by a light source of approximately $1/4$ sun.

Obviously many variant applications for apparatus according to the present invention apart from their projected use in solar energy transmission will occur to those ordinarily skilled in the art. As one example, a retro-reflecting screen or sign with a sharp angular cut-off in acceptance and emission may be fabricated using trough-shape or conical elements provided with a reflective material optically coupled to the effective energy "outlet". As another example, highway directional signs may be constructed which are geared specifically to emit or reflect light beams to an auto driver within a well defined directional field. Therefore, only such limitations as appear in the appended claims should be applied thereto.

WHAT WE CLAIM IS:—

1. Radiant energy transmission means, for use in an external radiant energy transmitting medium, and operative in a concentrating or an emitting mode, comprising a block or radiant energy transmissive material of refractive index greater than that of said external medium and having first and second opposite end walls, a central axis or plane passing through both end walls, and a side wall or walls which join said end walls and, as seen in cross-section end-to-end of the block, are concave (with respect to the interior of the block) and converge towards each other from said first end to said second end, whereby in the concentrating mode radiant energy enters at said first end and is concentrated to exit at said second end and in the emitting mode radiant energy enters at said second end and diverges to exit at the first end, the said side wall or walls being so shaped that the block meets the requirement that, of all the ray paths passing through both end walls of the

block, substantially all those that impinge on the side wall or walls are totally internally reflected where they meet a side wall, the slope of the side wall or walls (with respect to the central axis or plane) being a maximum consistent with the said requirement.

2. Transmission means according to Claim 1 wherein said block is trough-shaped, said concave side walls comprising a pair of opposing, longitudinally-extending walls.

3. Transmission means according to Claim 1 wherein said block is conically shaped, said concave side wall or walls comprising a single, continuous wall.

4. Transmission means according to Claim 2 or Claim 3 wherein said second end wall is geometrically flat and the profile curve of the or each side wall is parabolic (as seen in section perpendicular to the second end wall).

5. Transmission means according to Claim 2 or Claim 3 wherein said second end wall is geometrically flat and the profile curve of the or each said side wall has a parabolic portion and a straight portion (as seen in section perpendicular to the second end wall), the straight portion being adjacent the second end wall.

6. Transmission means according to Claim 2 or Claim 3 wherein said second end wall is geometrically flat and the profile curve of the or each said side wall is elliptical (as seen in section perpendicular to the second end wall).

7. Transmission means according to Claim 2 or Claim 3 wherein said second end wall is geometrically flat and the profile curve of the or each said side wall has an elliptical portion and a second portion which is an arc of an equiangular spiral (as seen in section perpendicular to the second end wall) which second portion is adjacent the second end wall.

8. Transmission means according to Claim 2 wherein said second end wall is a part-cylinder and is concave (with respect to the block interior) and the profile curve of each said side wall (as seen in section transverse to the cylinder axis) has a portion of shape dictated by compound parabolic concentrator shaping for a second end wall of cylindrical geometry and a straight portion, the straight portion being adjacent the second end wall.

9. Transmission means according to Claim 2 wherein said second end wall is a part-cylinder and is concave (with respect to the block interior) and the profile curve of each said side wall (as seen in section transverse to the cylinder axis) has a portion of shape dictated by compound parabolic concentrator shaping for a second end wall of cylindrical geometry and a second portion which is an arc of an equiangular spiral and is adjacent said second end wall.

10. Transmission means substantially as herein described with reference to and as shown in Figures 1 and 2, Figure 3 or any one of Figures 6 to 12 of the accompanying drawings.

11. Radiant energy absorption apparatus comprising one or more transmission means

according to any one of the preceding claims.
and energy absorption means adjacent said second end wall of the or each transmission means.

of the or each transmission means.

12. Radiant energy emission apparatus comprising one or more transmission means according to any one of Claims 1 to 10 and energy emitting means adjacent said second end wall

MEWBURN ELLIS & CO.,
Chartered Patent Agents,
70/72 Chancery Lane,
London, WC2A 1AD
Agents for the Applicants.

10




FIG. 2




FIG. 4




FIG. 5




FIG. 6




FIG. 7




FIG. 8




FIG. 9




FIG.12




FIG.11




FIG.10

